IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

WRIT PETITION NO : 18521 of 2006

DATED: 25-09-2006

Between:

Gangi Setty Sreehara Ray and others Petitioners

And

The Regional Manager,
APSRTC, Bhagyanagar Region
JBS Picket, Secunderabad Respondent

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE N.V.RAMANA

WRIT PETITION NO. 18521 OF 2006

ORAL ORDER:

In the present writ petition, the petitioners seek the following relief;

> ".....Writ of mandamus directing the respondent to issue the appointment orders as conductors if selected as per interviews held on 5.9.2006 and 6.9.2006 or any other subsequent date fixed by the respondent....."

The petitioners herein claim that they possess the required qualification for the post of conductors in the respondent corporation and registered themselves with the respective Employment Exchanges. That the respondent corporation has issued a notification for filling up the posts of conductors on 13.2.2005 in the local edition of "Andhra Jyothi" Telugu daily newspaper. The case of the petitioners is that since the respondent corporation has published the notification only in the local edition of the newspaper, they could not apply in terms of the said notification. Hence, the present writ petition.

Learned counsel for petitioners submitted that though the petitioners are eligible, they could not apply for the post of conductors in terms of notification issued by the respondent corporation inasmuch as it was published only in the local edition. It is also stated that 8th and 9th petitioners have applied for the post of conductors and their

applications were rejected on minor technical defects.

On the other hand learned standing counsel for respondent corporation submitted that as per the schedule, the interviews were held on 5.9.06 and 6.9.06 and eligible candidates were also selected and petitioners have no right to challenge the notification.

The applications submitted by 8th and 9th petitioners were admittedly rejected and the other petitioners could not apply in terms of the notification allegedly due to publication of the notification in the local edition. It is the case of the respondent corporation that interviews were conducted as per schedule on 5.9.2006 and 6.9.2006 and eligible candidates have already been selected and there is no infringement of any right of the petitioner. Since, the selection process is concluded and eligible candidates were selected, I see no reason to interfere in the matter and stall the proceedings merely on the ground that the notification was issued in the local edition only.

Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed. No costs.

_____

N.V.RAMANA,J

DATE: 25.9.2006
TVK

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-

WRIT PETITION NO : 18521 of 2006

DATED: 25-09-2006